STARLINGS
Journal of
Human Systems Architecture

VOLUME 1
ISSUE 1
2026

HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY OF LEARNING

How Human Conditions Shape Learning Stability

KEVIN A. STARLINGS
Virginia Union University
Founder & Principal Researcher The Starlings Institute

FOUNDATIONAL FRAMEWORK



Stable Learning Outcomes Emerge from Stable Human Conditions.

CORE THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

FOUNDATIONAL THEORY
Defines the conditions for stable learning.

MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK
Measures human conditions.

SYSTEMS OUTCOME
Explains learning stability.

RESEARCH QUESTION

What conditions must exist for learning to occur consistently within educational systems?

RESEARCH | MEASUREMENT | POLICY | PRACTICE

STARLINGS
Journal of
HUMAN SYSTEMS
ARCHITECTURE

ABSTRACT

Education reform has historically focused on curriculum, instructional practice, and accountability systems used to measure academic performance. While these approaches have generated valuable insights into teaching and learning, they often overlook the environmental conditions that regulate learning itself. Students and educators operate within complex social, emotional, physiological, and institutional contexts that shape their capacity to sustain intellectual engagement. When conditions across educational systems become unstable, instructional improvement alone may be insufficient to produce consistent outcomes.

This article introduces Human Conditions Theory of Education, a systems-based framework proposing that learning system stability emerges from the interaction of condition stability and system coherence across educational environments. Drawing on ecological systems theory, motivation theory, organizational coherence research, and systems thinking, the framework conceptualizes educational environments as layered human systems whose effectiveness depends on the alignment of conditions across institutional levels.

The theory is operationalized through Educational Architecture, a conceptual model organizing learning environments into four interacting domains: community ecosystem conditions, governance structures, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions. Within the student capacity domain, the Five Wells Framework further conceptualizes readiness for learning through five dimensions of human capacity: physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive conditions.

The article also introduces two proposed measurement constructs: the Human Conditions Index (HCI), which assesses condition stability, and the Coherence Index (CI), which evaluates alignment across educational layers. Together, these contributions provide a multidimensional framework for understanding, measuring, and designing learning environments capable of sustaining learning system stability over time.

Keywords: Human Conditions Theory; Educational Architecture; Learning System Stability; Educational Leadership; Systems Theory; School Improvement; Human Systems

CONCEPTUAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THIS ARTICLE

Understanding the Framework Architecture

This article introduces four interconnected contributions that together explain how learning system stability emerges, how it can be organized, and how it can be measured.

1



HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY

Explains WHY learning stability emerges.

A systems-based theory proposing that learning system stability emerges from the interaction of stable human conditions and coherent educational systems.

2



EDUCATIONAL ARCHITECTURE

Explains WHERE learning stability is organized.

A structural model describing how community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions interact to sustain learning system stability.

3



FIVE WELLS OF HUMAN CONDITIONS

Explains HOW student readiness develops.

A multidimensional model of student capacity consisting of five interacting domains:

PHYSICAL | EMOTIONAL | ENVIRONMENTAL | RELATIONAL | COGNITIVE

4



HUMAN CONDITIONS INDEX (HCI) + COHERENCE INDEX (CI)

Explains HOW learning stability can be measured.

HCI HCI measures the stability of conditions across educational layers.

CI CI measures the degree of alignment among educational layers.

Together, these indices provide a framework for ***measuring Learning System Stability.***

CORE PROPOSITION

Stable Human Conditions + **Coherent Systems** = **Sustainable Student Outcomes**

$$Learning\ System\ Stability = HCI \times CI$$

Together, these four contributions provide an integrated framework for understanding, designing, and measuring learning system stability.

 | © 2026 The Starlings Institute

Across decades of educational reform, policymakers, researchers, and practitioners have sought to improve student learning through innovations in curriculum, instructional strategy, and accountability systems. Yet education systems continue to face persistent challenges, including declining student engagement, widening opportunity gaps, chronic absenteeism, student mental health concerns, and increasing educator burnout. These challenges suggest that improving instruction alone may be insufficient to produce consistent learning outcomes across complex educational systems. Even highly effective instructional practices may struggle to generate sustained academic engagement when students arrive at school experiencing chronic instability, emotional stress, or unmet physiological needs. Similarly, educators working within fragmented policy environments or under conditions of professional strain may find it difficult to sustain the relational and cognitive labor required for effective teaching (Cohen, Raudenbush, & Ball, 2003).These realities raise a foundational question for educational research:

What conditions must exist for learning to occur consistently within educational systems?

Much of the existing literature on learning environments focuses on classroom-level instructional practices or individual student cognition (Hattie, 2009). While these perspectives provide valuable insights, they often overlook the broader environmental conditions that shape the capacity for teaching and learning. Students do not arrive at school as purely cognitive actors. They carry experiences shaped by family environments, community conditions, emotional states, and physiological circumstances. Research in sociology and developmental psychology demonstrates that these factors can significantly influence educational outcomes (Coleman et al., 1966; Bronfenbrenner, 1979).

Human Conditions Theory of Learning proposes that these patterns should be understood not simply as individual or instructional problems but as symptoms of condition instability operating across multiple layers of educational systems. When these conditions become fragmented or unstable, even well-designed instructional strategies may struggle to produce consistent results.

Within this framework, **Learning Stability** is defined as the sustained capacity of learners to engage, persist, and progress within educational environments as a result of stable human conditions. Rather than viewing learning as solely a function of instructional quality or individual ability, **Human Conditions Theory of Learning** argues that stable learning emerges when the physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive conditions necessary for learning are consistently present.

This article advances three primary theoretical contributions. First, it introduces the Human Conditions Theory of Learning, proposing that stable learning outcomes emerge from stable human conditions rather than instruction alone. Second, it presents the **Human Conditions Index (HCI)** as a conceptual framework for assessing the stability of those conditions across educational environments. Third, it advances **Learning Stability** as a systems-level construct for understanding how condition stability influences educational engagement, persistence, and academic progress over time.

PURPOSE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THIS PAPER

This paper introduces Human Conditions Theory and Educational Architecture as a conceptual framework for understanding how environmental conditions within educational systems shape learning system stability. The Human Conditions Index (HCI) and Coherence Index (CI) are introduced as conceptual measurement frameworks for future empirical investigation. The framework integrates insights from ecological systems theory, organizational improvement research, and developmental science to explain how community ecosystem conditions, governance structures, educator capacity, and student readiness interact to influence learning environments. This perspective shifts the focus of educational research from isolated instructional interventions toward the structural design of learning environments that sustain human capacity for learning.

Within this article, the three conceptual contributions introduced are related but analytically distinct. Human Conditions Theory functions as the overarching theoretical lens explaining how condition stability and system coherence influence learning system stability. Educational Architecture represents the structural systems model derived from this theoretical perspective, illustrating how environmental conditions operate across ecosystem, governance, educator, and student layers. The Five Wells Framework represents the student capacity domain within this architecture, providing a model for understanding how multiple domains of human functioning influence students' readiness for sustained intellectual engagement.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Human Conditions and Learning Environments

Research across developmental psychology, sociology, organizational theory, and educational leadership consistently demonstrates that learning is influenced by more than curriculum and instruction alone. Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (1979) established that development occurs within nested environmental systems extending from immediate relationships to broader social structures. Motivation research similarly demonstrates that autonomy, competence, and relatedness influence engagement and persistence in learning environments (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Developmental science further highlights the role of emotional regulation, relationships, and environmental stability in shaping learning capacity (Cantor et al., 2019; Osher et al., 2020).

Organizational scholarship extends these insights to educational institutions. Research on improvement science, relational trust, and professional capital demonstrates that sustainable school improvement depends upon coherent leadership, supportive professional conditions, and aligned organizational systems (Bryk & Schneider, 2002; Bryk et al., 2015; Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012). Collectively, these traditions suggest that learning emerges within complex developmental, relational, organizational, and ecological environments that shape the conditions under which intellectual engagement occurs. However, less attention has been devoted to understanding how environmental conditions operate collectively across educational layers as an integrated system capable of producing or undermining learning stability.

Theoretical Gap: System Design for Learning Stability

Despite these contributions, existing research traditions often operate through separate disciplinary lenses. Motivation research focuses on psychological engagement, developmental science examines social and emotional contexts, and organizational scholarship explores institutional structures. Consequently, educational reform efforts frequently target isolated aspects of schooling rather than the broader conditions that support learning. Human Conditions Theory addresses this gap by providing an integrated framework for examining how environmental conditions interact across educational systems to produce or undermine learning stability.

Distinguishing Human Conditions Theory from Ecological Systems Theory

While Human Conditions Theory draws upon ecological systems theory (Bronfenbrenner, 1979), it differs in a fundamental way. Ecological systems theory primarily explains how nested environmental contexts influence human development. Human Conditions Theory extends this perspective by examining how educational institutions intentionally organize, align, and stabilize environmental conditions to support sustained intellectual engagement. Rather than focusing solely on developmental context, the framework centers on how educational systems design and manage the conditions that influence learning stability. In this sense, Human Conditions Theory shifts attention from understanding environmental influence to understanding environmental architecture.

What Human Conditions Theory Explains That Existing Educational Theories Do Not

Educational research has produced numerous theoretical frameworks that explain important aspects of learning, development, motivation, and organizational improvement. Ecological systems theory describes the environmental contexts influencing human development. Self-Determination Theory explains how psychological needs shape motivation and engagement. Improvement science examines how organizations learn and improve over time, while research on relational trust highlights the importance of relationships in supporting school effectiveness and organizational performance.

Each of these frameworks contributes valuable insight into educational processes. However, they primarily explain specific dimensions of educational systems rather than how conditions across multiple system layers interact to influence learning stability. Existing theories help explain why learning occurs, why motivation develops, or how organizations improve, but they provide less guidance for understanding how environmental conditions across educational systems align to produce stable or unstable learning environments.

Human Conditions Theory is intended to address this gap. Rather than focusing primarily on development, motivation, organizational change, or school culture in isolation, the theory examines how environmental conditions interact across educational ecosystems. The framework proposes that learning stability emerges from the alignment of conditions operating across four interconnected domains: ecosystem environments, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student readiness conditions.

In this way, Human Conditions Theory shifts analytical attention from individual components of educational systems to the conditions that connect them. The central proposition of the theory is that sustained learning engagement is not solely the product of curriculum, instruction, motivation, or organizational effectiveness, but of the stability and alignment of environmental conditions across the educational system as a whole.

Although Human Conditions Theory builds upon several established traditions in educational research, its primary focus differs from existing frameworks. Table 1 summarizes the comparative focus and unit of analysis associated with major educational theories and highlights the distinctive contribution of Human Conditions Theory.

Table 1

Comparison of Human Conditions Theory and Related Educational Frameworks

Framework	Primary Focus	Unit of Analysis
Ecological Systems Theory	Developmental context	Individual within nested environments
Self-Determination Theory	Motivation and engagement	Individual learner
Improvement Science	Organizational improvement	Processes and organizations
Relational Trust	School culture and relationships	School communities
Human Conditions Theory	Learning stability through system condition alignment	Interacting educational system layers

As shown in Table 1, Human Conditions Theory differs from existing educational frameworks by focusing on the alignment of environmental conditions across interacting educational system layers rather than a single domain, process, or level of analysis.

The distinction is important. Ecological systems theory explains how nested environmental systems influence development. Human Conditions Theory extends this perspective by examining how educational institutions organize, align, and regulate environmental conditions to create stable learning systems. In this sense, Human Conditions Theory is concerned not only with the existence of environmental influences but with how those influences are structured and coordinated across educational layers. Similarly, Self-Determination Theory focuses on psychological conditions associated with motivation, including autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Human Conditions Theory acknowledges the importance of these factors but situates them within a broader systems architecture. Student motivation is understood as one component of a larger network of environmental conditions influencing learning stability.

Improvement science has generated important insights regarding organizational learning, continuous improvement, and system change. However, much of this work focuses on processes for improving organizational performance. Human Conditions Theory shifts attention toward the environmental conditions that make sustained improvement possible. From this perspective, improvement efforts may struggle when instability exists within the broader ecosystem, governance structures, educator capacity systems, or student readiness conditions. Research on relational trust similarly demonstrates that strong relationships contribute significantly to school improvement. Human Conditions Theory incorporates these insights while proposing that the stability of learning environments depends upon the interaction and alignment of conditions across educational system layers rather than any single factor operating in isolation.

The comparison presented in Table 1 illustrates the central distinction of Human Conditions Theory. While existing educational frameworks provide important explanations of development, motivation, organizational improvement, and school culture, Human Conditions Theory focuses on how conditions across educational system layers interact to influence learning stability. The framework proposes that stable learning environments emerge when community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity, and student readiness operate in alignment. Conversely, instability may occur when fragmentation develops within one or more system layers, even when other conditions remain relatively strong.

Human Conditions Theory is therefore proposed as an integrative systems framework for understanding how environmental conditions influence the capacity of educational systems to sustain engagement, performance, and improvement over time. The following section introduces the theory's core assumptions, structural layers, and central propositions.

HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY OF EDUCATION

Human Conditions Theory is introduced as a systems-based explanation for how environmental stability regulates the capacity of educational systems to sustain learning over time. The theory proposes that learning outcomes are not produced solely through instruction, curriculum, or assessment, but emerge from the interaction of conditions operating across educational layers. When these conditions are stable and coherent, learning systems are more likely to sustain engagement, performance, and improvement. When conditions become unstable or fragmented, learning environments may struggle to maintain consistent outcomes.

Human Conditions Theory shifts the focus of educational analysis from isolated instructional practices to the environmental architectures that regulate learning capacity across educational systems. The theory's central claim is that learning system stability is an emergent property of aligned human conditions rather than the result of instructional quality alone. From this perspective, instructional quality remains important but cannot operate independently of the broader conditions that shape learning environments. Human Conditions Theory provides the theoretical lens from which both Educational Architecture and the Five Wells Framework are derived. When these conditions are stable, individuals are more capable of directing cognitive resources toward problem solving, conceptual reasoning, and knowledge construction.

When these conditions are unstable, cognitive resources may instead be diverted toward emotional regulation, stress management, or environmental adaptation. These conditions operate through interacting layers that shape the experiences of students and educators in learning environments. Human Conditions Theory conceptualizes learning environments through four interacting structural layers that shape the stability of educational systems. These layers operate dynamically and influence one another over time.

While analytically distinct, the structural layers of Human Conditions Theory operate as a dynamic and interdependent system. Changes within one layer influence the functioning of the others, collectively contributing to the stability or instability of learning environments. Understanding these reciprocal relationships provides a framework for examining educational systems not as collections of isolated programs or policies, but as intentionally designed environments whose effectiveness depends upon the alignment of conditions across multiple organizational levels. Human Conditions Theory therefore shifts the focus of educational improvement from individual interventions toward the broader architecture of the systems in which learning occurs.

Fundamental Proposition of Human Conditions Theory

At the center of Human Conditions Theory is the proposition that learning stability emerges through the interaction between environmental condition stability and system coherence. The theory proposes that neither stable conditions nor coherent organizational structures are independently sufficient to sustain meaningful educational outcomes. Rather, durable learning stability develops when favorable human conditions exist within systems whose structures, relationships, and organizational processes function coherently over time.

This central proposition is represented conceptually by the following expression:

$$\textbf{Learning System Stability} = f(\text{HCI} \times \text{CI})$$

This formulation is conceptual rather than mathematical. It is intended to illustrate the theoretical proposition that learning stability emerges through the interaction of stable environmental conditions and coherent system structures. Future empirical research will be required to examine the functional relationship between these constructs and to determine how variations in condition stability and system coherence influence educational outcomes across diverse learning environments.

Where:

HCI = Human Conditions Index, representing the stability of environmental conditions across the structural domains of Educational Architecture.

CI = Coherence Index, representing the degree of alignment among those structural domains.

Together, these complementary constructs establish the analytical foundation of Human Conditions Theory. The Human Conditions Index provides a conceptual mechanism for assessing the stability of the conditions that influence learning, while the Coherence Index evaluates the degree to which educational systems function as integrated rather than fragmented organizations. Considered together, these indices provide a framework for understanding how environmental conditions and organizational design interact to influence learning system stability.

Figure 1 introduces the Human Conditions Theory Integrated Model, the foundational conceptual framework upon which the remainder of this article is developed. The model illustrates the interaction among community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions, demonstrating how their alignment collectively influences learning stability. It also introduces the conceptual relationship between the Human Conditions Index (HCI) and the Coherence Index (CI), establishing the theoretical foundation for the Educational Architecture Model, the Five Wells Framework, and the broader Human Systems Architecture™ (HSA) framework presented throughout this volume.

HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY INTEGRATED MODEL

How Learning System Stability Emerges

STRUCTURAL LAYERS OF EDUCATIONAL ARCHITECTURE

COMMUNITY ECOSYSTEM CONDITIONS

Family · Community · Housing · Health · Safety

Conditions surrounding the learning system

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURES

Leadership · Policy · Resource Allocation · Accountability

Conditions directing the learning system

ADULT CAPACITY

Educator Capacity · Staff Wellness · Professional Capacity · Organizational Coherence

Conditions supporting implementation

STUDENT CAPACITY CONDITIONS

Physical · Emotional · Environmental · Relational · Cognitive

Conditions influencing learning readiness

SYSTEM COHERENCE (CI)

Alignment across educational layers

LEARNING STABILITY INDICES

HCI

Human Conditions Index

(HCI = Condition Stability)

Measures:
Condition Stability

+

CI

Coherence Index

(CI = System Alignment)

Measures:
System Alignment

LEARNING SYSTEM STABILITY

LEARNING STABILITY

Sustained Intellectual Engagement
Readiness for Continuous Learning
Capacity for Sustained Learning

FUNDAMENTAL PROPOSITION

Learning System Stability = f(HCI × CI)

Stable learning outcomes emerge from stable human conditions and coherent system structures.

FIGURE NOTES

Figure 1.

Human Conditions Theory Integrated Model

Note. The Human Conditions Theory Integrated Model presents the foundational conceptual architecture of Human Conditions Theory and illustrates the theory's central proposition that stable educational outcomes emerge through the interaction of human condition stability and system coherence rather than through isolated interventions or individual instructional practices. The model demonstrates how community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions function as interdependent layers that collectively influence student engagement, learning, persistence, performance, and continuous improvement. Rather than conceptualizing educational success as the product of classroom instruction alone, the framework positions learning as the outcome of a broader human system whose effectiveness depends upon the alignment and stability of conditions operating across multiple organizational levels.

The Human Conditions Index (HCI) provides a conceptual mechanism for assessing the stability of the environmental and organizational conditions that influence learning, while the Coherence Index (CI) represents the degree to which those structural domains function as an integrated and mutually reinforcing system. Considered together, these complementary constructs establish the analytical foundation of Human Conditions Theory by linking condition stability with organizational coherence as the two principal determinants of learning system stability.

The integrated model also establishes the conceptual foundation for the frameworks presented throughout this article. The Educational Architecture Model translates these theoretical relationships into a structural systems framework, the Five Wells Framework operationalizes student capacity conditions, the Integrated Human Conditions Framework synthesizes the theory's principal components, and the Human Conditions Theory Implementation Model demonstrates how these constructs may be applied to system assessment, implementation planning, and continuous improvement. Accordingly, the Human Conditions Theory Integrated Model serves as the foundational framework from which the broader HSA paradigm is developed (Starlings, 2026).

Four Structural Layers of Human Conditions Theory

Human Conditions Theory organizes learning environments into four interacting layers. Community Ecosystem Conditions represent the broader social, economic, and environmental contexts influencing student development. Governance Structures include the policies, leadership systems, and institutional priorities that shape educational organizations.

Adult Capacity Systems reflect the professional conditions that influence educators' ability to sustain supportive learning environments. Student Capacity Conditions represent the developmental readiness students bring into educational settings.

These layers are analytically distinct but dynamically interconnected. Conditions within one layer influence the functioning of the others. Community instability may place additional demands on schools, governance decisions may affect educator capacity, and educator capacity may directly influence student readiness for learning. Learning system stability therefore emerges not from any single layer but from the alignment of conditions across the system as a whole. While the first three layers describe environmental and organizational conditions, student readiness is conceptualized through the Five Wells Framework.

The Five Wells Framework

Within Human Conditions Theory, student readiness for learning is conceptualized through the Five Wells Framework, a model describing five domains of human capacity that influence students' ability to engage in sustained intellectual work (Starlings, 2026). These domains include physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive capacities. Rather than viewing readiness as a fixed trait, the framework conceptualizes learning readiness as a dynamic balance among these interconnected domains.

The metaphor of "wells" reflects the idea that human capacity can be replenished, depleted, or stabilized over time depending upon environmental conditions. When these domains are sufficiently resourced, students are better able to sustain attention, regulate emotion, and engage in complex intellectual tasks. When one or more domains becomes depleted, learning engagement may become more difficult to sustain.

Importantly, the five domains do not operate independently. Conditions within one domain can influence the functioning of others. For example, chronic emotional stress may disrupt physiological health, while unstable learning environments may increase emotional strain and weaken relational trust. The Five Wells Framework therefore provides a conceptual structure for examining learning readiness as a multidimensional system of interacting human capacities. Figure 2 illustrates the framework.

FIVE WELLS OF HUMAN CONDITIONS

Student Capacity Conditions Framework

The Five Wells represent integrated human conditions that shape student capacity.
When these conditions are stable and supported systemwide, students can engage, persist, and achieve.

INTEGRATED BY DESIGN

The Five Wells are interconnected. Stability in each well strengthens the others and creates the conditions for sustainable learning.

1. PHYSICAL WELL

The foundation of health and energy.

- Nutrition
- Sleep
- Health & Wellness
- Physical Activity
- Preventive Care

2. EMOTIONAL WELL

The foundation of safety and self-regulation.

- Safety
- Belonging & Identity
- Emotional Regulation
- Stress Management
- Resilience

3. ENVIRONMENTAL WELL

The foundation of stability and access.

- Stability
- Predictability
- Structure
- Access
- Opportunity

4. RELATIONAL WELL

The foundation of connection and support.

- Connection
- Trust
- Communication
- Family & Community Support
- Positive Relationships

5. COGNITIVE WELL

The foundation of thinking and learning.

- Clarity
- Focus & Attention
- Cognitive Load Management
- Problem Solving
- Metacognition

LEARNING STABILITY

STUDENT CAPACITY

Readiness • Engagement • Persistence

Sustained Intellectual Engagement
Continuous Readiness for Learning
Capacity for Sustained Learning

SYSTEM IMPACT

When the Five Wells are stable across schools and communities, the learning system becomes more stable, equitable, and effective.

HUMAN CONDITIONS INDEX (HCI)

HCI — Measures stability within:

- Physical Conditions
- Emotional Conditions
- Environmental Conditions
- Relational Conditions
- Cognitive Conditions

Used to guide decisions, allocate resources, and improve outcomes.

HCI FORMULA

$HCI = f(P + E + En + R + C)$

Where:

- P = Physical Conditions
- E = Emotional Conditions
- En = Environmental Conditions
- R = Relational Conditions
- C = Cognitive Conditions

LEARNING STABILITY OUTCOMES

- Engagement
- Persistence
- Achievement
- Opportunity
- Postsecondary Readiness
- Life Readiness

THE CORE PRINCIPLE

Student capacity emerges from the interaction of physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive conditions. When these conditions are stable and supported through coherent systems, **learning stability becomes sustainable**.

Stable Conditions → Stronger Capacity → Learning Stability → Sustainable Success

THE STARLINGS INSTITUTE
Advancing Human-Centered Systems

LEARNING SYSTEM STABILITY = HCI × CI

Where: HCI = Human Conditions Index | CI = Coherence Index

Stable Human Conditions + Coherent Systems = Sustainable Student Outcomes

FIGURE NOTES

Figure 2.

The Five Wells Framework

Note. The Five Wells Framework conceptualizes student readiness as the dynamic interaction of five essential dimensions of human capacity: physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive well-being. Rather than viewing readiness as a fixed individual characteristic, the framework proposes that students' capacity to engage, persist, and succeed in learning environments emerges through the continuous interaction of these interconnected domains. Each "well" represents a reservoir of human capacity that may be strengthened, depleted, or restored over time as conditions within the broader educational environment change.

The framework emphasizes that the five domains function as an integrated system rather than as independent variables. Conditions affecting one domain frequently influence the others. For example, persistent emotional stress may affect physical well-being, unstable learning environments may weaken relational trust, and diminished relational support may reduce students' cognitive engagement. Consequently, learning readiness is understood as the product of balanced capacity across multiple domains rather than strength within any single dimension.

Within Human Conditions Theory, the Five Wells Framework represents the student capacity layer of the broader Educational Architecture Model. It operationalizes the theory by identifying the principal human conditions that directly influence students' readiness for sustained intellectual work while providing a practical framework for assessment, intervention planning, and system design. By linking individual human capacity to broader environmental and organizational conditions, the framework establishes an important bridge between Human Conditions Theory, Educational Architecture, and the HSA paradigm developed throughout this article. The Five Wells Framework therefore serves as both a conceptual model of student readiness and a practical guide for designing educational systems that intentionally cultivate whole-person development and long-term learning stability (Starlings, 2026).

Having established the conceptual foundations of Human Conditions Theory, the Educational Architecture Model, and the Five Wells Framework, the theory now advances a series of propositions that articulate the expected relationships among human conditions, system coherence, and learning stability. These propositions translate the conceptual framework into testable statements that may guide future empirical investigation, theory refinement, and systems-based educational research.

Proposition Statements

Human Conditions Theory advances the following theoretical propositions:

Proposition 1: Greater stability of community ecosystem conditions will be associated with greater learning system stability.
Proposition 2: Greater governance coherence will be associated with stronger adult capacity conditions.
Proposition 3: Stronger adult capacity conditions will be associated with stronger student capacity conditions.
Proposition 4: Learning system stability increases as both Human Conditions Index (HCI) scores and Coherence Index (CI) scores increase.
Proposition 5: Misalignment across educational layers may reduce learning stability even when individual system components remain strong.

Boundary Conditions of the Theory

As with all theoretical frameworks, Human Conditions Theory operates within specific conceptual boundaries. The theory does not suggest that educational outcomes are determined solely by environmental conditions, nor does it imply that learning can be reduced to a single set of system variables. Individual agency, instructional quality, curriculum design, cultural influences, family dynamics, personal motivation, and student characteristics remain important contributors to educational outcomes. Rather, Human Conditions Theory proposes that environmental stability establishes the conditions under which these factors can operate most effectively.

Integrating Student Capacity Within Human Conditions Theory

The Five Wells Framework conceptualizes student readiness as the interaction of physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive capacities. While these domains operate at the level of individual learners, Human Conditions Theory proposes that they are shaped by broader environmental conditions. Educational Architecture extends this perspective by examining how educational systems intentionally organize the conditions that influence learning readiness. Understanding how these environments are structured requires examining the architectural design of educational systems.

STARLINGS
Journal of
HUMAN SYSTEMS
ARCHITECTURE

EDUCATIONAL ARCHITECTURE

Designing The Conditions of Learning Systems

Human Conditions Theory provides a conceptual framework for understanding how environmental conditions influence learning system stability. Rather than locating educational success solely within individual students, teachers, or instructional programs, the theory shifts attention toward the broader conditions that shape learning across an entire educational system. From this perspective, learning outcomes emerge through the interaction of stable human conditions and coherent organizational structures rather than through isolated interventions or disconnected initiatives.

If learning outcomes are influenced by interacting human conditions, educational systems must themselves be understood as intentionally designed structures that organize, coordinate, and sustain those conditions over time. This shift moves the conversation beyond questions of curriculum, pedagogy, or school improvement programs toward the architecture of the educational system itself. The central question becomes not simply how students learn, but how educational systems are designed to create and sustain the conditions under which learning is most likely to occur.

Within this architecture, each structural layer influences the stability and performance of the layers above and below it. Community ecosystem conditions shape governance capacity; governance systems establish the organizational environment within which adults work; adult capacity influences the quality and consistency of student experiences; and student capacity conditions ultimately shape engagement, persistence, and learning outcomes. Because these relationships are reciprocal rather than linear, changes within one layer inevitably influence the stability of the broader system.

Educational Architecture provides the operational framework through which Human Conditions Theory is translated into educational design and systems practice. Rather than focusing exclusively on instruction or curriculum, the framework examines how educational systems intentionally organize community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions into a coherent structure capable of sustaining learning over time. The framework therefore shifts educational improvement from program implementation toward system design, emphasizing that lasting improvement depends upon the alignment and coherence of the conditions that support learning.

Figure 3 presents the Educational Architecture Model, illustrating the four interdependent structural layers through which learning system stability is produced and sustained. The model operationalizes Human Conditions Theory by demonstrating how upstream environmental conditions, organizational governance, adult capacity, and student conditions function as an integrated architecture that continuously adapts through feedback, organizational learning, and system redesign. Together, these structural relationships establish the foundation upon which coherent educational systems are built and continuously improved.

EDUCATIONAL ARCHITECTURE MODEL

Structural Layers That Sustain Learning Systems

A coherent architecture where upstream conditions, governance, adult capacity, and student conditions work together to produce stable learning outcomes.

SYSTEM PRINCIPLES

INTERDEPENDENT
All layers influence and reinforce one another.

ALIGNED
Coherent systems ensure clarity, consistency, and shared purpose.

ADAPTIVE
Systems respond to change and evolve over time.

INTERDEPENDENT SYSTEM LAYERS

1 COMMUNITY ECOSYSTEM
Housing • Health • Safety
Family & Community Stability • Economic Stability

Upstream Conditions

2 GOVERNANCE SYSTEM
School Boards • District Leadership • State Policy
Accountability • Resource Allocation • Policy Coherence

3 ADULT CAPACITY SYSTEM
Workload Balance • Staff Wellness • Professional Support
Leadership Stability • Capacity Building

4 STUDENT CAPACITY CONDITIONS
Physical • Emotional • Environmental
Relational • Cognitive

Downstream Conditions

5 LEARNING STABILITY & OUTCOMES
Engagement • Persistence • Academic Growth
Postsecondary Readiness • Life Readiness

SYSTEM FEEDBACK LOOP

Learning outcomes inform governance, policy coherence, resource allocation, and system redesign.

The system adapts, strengthens, and improves continuously.

THE CORE PRINCIPLE

Stable Human Conditions + Coherent Systems = Sustainable Student Outcomes

When every layer of the architecture is strong and aligned, learning systems become stable, equitable, and capable of sustaining every scholar's success.

THE STARLINGS INSTITUTE
Advancing Human-Centered Systems

LEARNING SYSTEM STABILITY = HCI × CI

Where: HCI = Human Conditions Index | CI = Coherence Index

Stable Human Conditions × Coherent Systems = Sustainable Student Outcomes

FIGURE NOTES

Figure 3.

Educational Architecture Model

Note. The Educational Architecture Model operationalizes Human Conditions Theory by translating its theoretical propositions into a structural framework for designing, implementing, and sustaining stable learning systems. The model illustrates four interdependent layers—community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions—that collectively influence educational outcomes. Rather than conceptualizing improvement as the product of isolated programs, instructional reforms, or individual interventions, the framework proposes that sustainable learning stability emerges through coherent alignment across these structural layers.

The model emphasizes that educational systems function as dynamic, interconnected human systems in which each layer both influences and is influenced by the others. Community ecosystem conditions establish the broader environmental context within which governance operates; governance systems create the organizational conditions that shape adult capacity; adult capacity directly influences the quality and consistency of student experiences; and student capacity conditions ultimately affect engagement, persistence, achievement, and long-term learning outcomes. Because these relationships are reciprocal rather than linear, changes within one domain reverberate throughout the entire educational system.

The continuous feedback loop illustrates that educational outcomes should not represent the endpoint of system improvement but rather the beginning of an ongoing cycle of organizational learning. Student outcomes inform governance decisions, policy refinement, resource allocation, professional learning, and system redesign, allowing educational systems to adapt while maintaining coherence over time. In this way, the model integrates upstream conditions, organizational capacity, and downstream outcomes into a single architecture capable of supporting continuous improvement.

The Educational Architecture Model therefore serves as the primary operational framework through which Human Conditions Theory is translated into educational leadership, policy, organizational design, implementation planning, systems assessment, and continuous improvement. The figure represents an original conceptual framework developed by the author and constitutes an integral component of the theoretical contribution presented in this article (Starlings, 2026).

Educational Architecture extends Human Conditions Theory by examining how community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions function as an integrated system. Within this framework, schools are understood as complex human systems whose effectiveness depends not only on instructional quality but also on the alignment of conditions across educational layers. Educational Architecture therefore reframes educational improvement as a problem of system design rather than isolated reform initiatives. When system conditions operate coherently, learning environments are more likely to sustain engagement, performance, and improvement over time. When alignment weakens, instability may emerge even when individual components remain strong.

Alignment and Stability in Learning Systems

Educational Architecture proposes that alignment across system layers produces stability within learning environments. When community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student readiness conditions operate coherently, educational systems are more likely to sustain environments conducive to learning. Conversely, misalignment across these layers may destabilize learning environments, even when individual components remain relatively strong. For example, schools serving communities experiencing significant socioeconomic stress may struggle to maintain stable learning conditions if governance systems fail to provide adequate resources and support. Similarly, high rates of educator turnover may undermine relational continuity and weaken the conditions necessary for sustained engagement. Educational Architecture therefore emphasizes system coherence as a central condition of learning system stability.

Implications for Leadership, Policy, and Research

Educational Architecture carries important implications for leadership, policy, and research. Within this framework, educational leaders function as architects of conditions rather than managers of isolated programs. Leadership effectiveness is reflected not only in academic outcomes but also in the extent to which leaders create stable, coherent environments that support educator capacity and student engagement.

The framework similarly suggests that policies strengthening coherence across community conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student readiness are more likely to contribute to sustained improvement than reforms focused on isolated accountability measures. For researchers, Educational Architecture provides a systems-based lens for examining how environmental conditions interact across educational layers. Future scholarship may investigate how condition stability, system coherence, and structural alignment influence learning outcomes, educator sustainability, and organizational improvement over time.

Educational Architecture as a Leadership Framework

Human Conditions Theory carries important implications for educational leadership. Traditionally, educational leaders have been evaluated through measures of academic performance, organizational management, and program implementation. Human Conditions Theory extends this perspective by suggesting that leadership should also be understood through the design, maintenance, and alignment of the conditions that make learning possible. Within this framework, leaders function as architects of conditions whose responsibility extends beyond managing programs and personnel to shaping the environmental, organizational, and relational conditions that support learning stability.

Educational leaders therefore serve as builders of coherence, stewards of capacity, and coordinators of ecosystem partnerships. They align policies, resources, practices, and partnerships across educational layers; strengthen the professional and developmental capacities of educators and students; and cultivate relationships among families, community organizations, health systems, workforce partners, and public agencies that influence learning conditions.

Educational Architecture expands traditional conceptions of instructional and organizational leadership by positioning leaders as designers of human systems. Leadership effectiveness is reflected not only in academic outcomes but also in the stability, coherence, and capacity of the environments leaders help create. From this perspective, leadership is not merely the management of schools but the intentional design of conditions capable of sustaining learning over time.

Why Educational Architecture Matters

For decades, educational improvement efforts have focused primarily on curriculum, instruction, assessment, and accountability. While these areas remain essential, persistent challenges related to student engagement, educator sustainability, absenteeism, and educational inequity suggest that instructional improvement alone may not fully explain variation in learning outcomes. Human Conditions Theory proposes that learning occurs within environmental architectures that shape the capacity of students and educators to sustain intellectual engagement over time.

Educational Architecture therefore shifts attention toward the design of learning environments themselves. Rather than asking only how instruction can be improved, the framework encourages educational leaders, policymakers, and researchers to examine how conditions across educational layers can be intentionally aligned to support learning stability. The central contribution of Human Conditions Theory is not that instruction is unimportant, but that instruction operates within conditions. Educational Architecture provides a framework for understanding, measuring, and designing those conditions so that learning systems can function more effectively over time.

Relationship Among Human Conditions Theory, Educational Architecture, and the Five Wells Framework

The preceding sections introduced the principal conceptual components of Human Conditions Theory. Although each framework serves a distinct analytical purpose, they are intended to function as complementary components of a unified explanatory system rather than as independent models. Together, they explain how educational environments influence learning stability, how educational systems organize those environments, and how student capacity develops within those organizational conditions.

Human Conditions Theory provides the overarching theoretical explanation of how environmental condition stability and system coherence influence learning system stability. Educational Architecture translates these theoretical propositions into a structural systems model by identifying the organizational layers through which educational conditions are intentionally designed, coordinated, and sustained. The Five Wells Framework represents the student capacity domain within Educational Architecture, illustrating how physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive capacities collectively influence students' readiness for sustained intellectual engagement.

Viewed together, these frameworks establish an integrated conceptual architecture that links theory, system design, organizational coherence, and human development into a single explanatory model. Rather than functioning as separate conceptual contributions, each framework extends and operationalizes the one preceding it, creating a coherent progression from theoretical explanation to structural design and ultimately to practical implementation. This integrated perspective provides the conceptual foundation for HSA and the systems-based applications developed throughout subsequent scholarship.

Figure 4 synthesizes these relationships into the Integrated Human Conditions Framework, illustrating how Human Conditions Theory, Educational Architecture, and the Five Wells Framework operate together as complementary components of a coherent system for understanding, designing, and improving learning environments.

Integrated Human Conditions Framework

An Integrated Framework for Learning System Stability

1 Human Conditions Theory



The Overarching Theory

Learning system stability emerges from the interaction of stable human conditions and coherent educational systems.

2 Educational Architecture



The Structural Systems Model

Organizes and aligns conditions across system layers to support learning system stability.

- Community Ecosystem Conditions
- Governance Systems
- Adult Capacity Systems
- Student Capacity Conditions

3 Five Wells Framework



The Student Capacity Model

Student readiness develops through five interacting human capacities.

PHYSICAL | EMOTIONAL | ENVIRONMENTAL | RELATIONAL | COGNITIVE

4 Learning System Stability



Stable conditions support sustained learning.



Sustained Engagement • Persistence • Achievement • Life Readiness

The Core Principle

Stable Human Conditions + Coherent Systems = Learning System Stability

When conditions are stable and systems are coherent, learning stability becomes possible.

THE STARLINGS INSTITUTE

Advancing Human-Centered Systems

HCI × CI = Learning System Stability

Where: HCI = Human Conditions Index | CI = Coherence Index

Stable Human Conditions × Coherent Systems = Learning System Stability

FIGURE NOTES

Figure 4.

Integrated Human Conditions Framework

Note. The Integrated Human Conditions Framework synthesizes the principal theoretical and operational components of Human Conditions Theory into a unified conceptual model. Human Conditions Theory provides the overarching explanation of how environmental condition stability and system coherence influence learning system performance, while the Educational Architecture Model defines the structural relationships among community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions. The Five Wells Framework represents the student capacity domain by illustrating how physical, emotional, environmental, relational, and cognitive capacities collectively shape readiness for sustained learning.

Rather than functioning as independent models, these frameworks operate as complementary components of a single theoretical architecture. Human Conditions Theory explains why stable conditions matter, Educational Architecture explains how educational systems organize those conditions, and the Five Wells Framework explains how those conditions are experienced through the capacities of individual learners. Together, they connect theory, organizational design, human development, and implementation into a coherent explanatory system.

The Integrated Human Conditions Framework therefore serves as the conceptual bridge between the foundational theory presented in this article and the broader HSA paradigm. By illustrating how each framework extends and reinforces the others, the model provides both a comprehensive foundation for future scholarship and a practical architecture for designing stable, equitable, coherent, and human-centered educational systems (Starlings, 2026).

IMPLICATIONS FOR EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS

Human Conditions Theory and Educational Architecture invite a reconsideration of how educational systems conceptualize improvement. Traditional reform efforts often focus on individual components of schooling, such as curriculum alignment, assessment strategies, instructional practice, or accountability systems. While these efforts can generate valuable improvements, they may overlook the broader conditions that regulate the stability of learning environments.

If learning environments are shaped by interacting human conditions across multiple system layers, then improving educational outcomes requires attention to the structural design of educational systems themselves. Human Conditions Theory therefore shifts the focus of educational improvement from isolated reforms toward the intentional design of environments that support sustained learning engagement. Several implications emerge for educational improvement, leadership, policy, and research.

Reframing Educational Improvement

Human Conditions Theory suggests that educational improvement should be understood as a systems problem rather than solely an instructional problem. Instructional quality remains essential, but instruction operates within environments that either stabilize or destabilize the conditions necessary for learning. When community ecosystem supports, governance structures, adult capacity, and student readiness are misaligned, even well-designed instructional programs may struggle to produce sustained improvement.

Students experiencing chronic instability in housing, emotional regulation, or relational trust may find it difficult to fully engage in learning regardless of curriculum quality. Similarly, educators operating within fragmented governance systems or unsustainable workloads may struggle to maintain stable classroom environments. Educational systems therefore benefit from examining how policies, institutional structures, and community partnerships collectively shape the conditions under which learning occurs.

Expanding the Role of Educational Leadership

A second implication concerns educational leadership. Within Educational Architecture, leaders are understood as architects of conditions rather than managers of isolated programs. Their role includes strengthening governance alignment, organizational culture, professional capacity, community partnerships, and the conditions that support student readiness. By fostering collaboration, relational trust, educator well-being, and cross-sector partnerships, leaders help create stable environments that support learning across educational system layers.

From Conceptual Framework to Empirical Investigation

Human Conditions Theory, Educational Architecture, and the Five Wells Framework are introduced in this article as complementary conceptual contributions intended to guide future scholarship and systems-based educational practice. Their long-term value depends not only on their theoretical coherence but also on their capacity to be operationalized, measured, empirically examined, and refined across diverse educational contexts. A conceptual framework achieves its greatest contribution when it provides a foundation for systematic investigation, practical implementation, and continuous theoretical development.

To support this progression from conceptual scholarship to empirical research, this article introduces the Human Conditions Index (HCI) and the Coherence Index (CI) as complementary measurement frameworks for assessing environmental condition stability and organizational coherence. The HCI is proposed as a multidimensional measure of condition stability across community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions. The CI is proposed as a complementary measure of the degree to which these structural domains function as an integrated and mutually reinforcing educational system.

Future research should examine how variations in condition stability and system coherence influence student engagement, educator sustainability, organizational effectiveness, school improvement, and long-term learning outcomes. Longitudinal, comparative, mixed-methods, and interdisciplinary investigations will be necessary to evaluate the explanatory power, reliability, validity, and practical utility of these constructs across diverse educational settings. Such investigations represent the next stage in the continued development and refinement of Human Conditions Theory.

Figure 5 presents the Human Conditions Theory Implementation Model, illustrating how the theoretical constructs introduced throughout this article may be translated into systematic assessment, organizational diagnosis, intervention planning, implementation, evaluation, and continuous improvement. The model establishes the methodological bridge between conceptual theory and evidence-informed educational practice, providing a pathway through which Human Conditions Theory may evolve from a conceptual framework into an empirically supported systems architecture.

HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY IMPLEMENTATION MODEL

From Human Conditions to Learning System Stability

This model illustrates how Human Conditions Theory, Educational Architecture, and the Five Wells Framework inform measurement, diagnosis, and action.

1 HUMAN CONDITIONS THEORY

The Overarching Explanation

Learning system stability emerges from the interaction of stable human conditions and coherent educational systems.

- Stable Human Conditions
- Coherent Systems
- Learning System Stability

2 EDUCATIONAL ARCHITECTURE

The Structural Systems Model

Organizes and aligns conditions across system layers to support learning system stability.

- Community Ecosystem Conditions
- Governance Structures
- Adult Capacity Systems
- Student Capacity Conditions

3 FIVE WELLS FRAMEWORK

The Student Capacity Model

Student readiness develops through five interacting human capacities.

PHYSICAL | EMOTIONAL | ENVIRONMENTAL | RELATIONAL | COGNITIVE

4 HUMAN CONDITIONS INDICES

Quantify Conditions and Coherence

HCI — Human Conditions Index (HCI): Measures the stability of conditions across educational layers.

CI — Coherence Index (CI): Measures the degree of alignment among educational layers.

5 SYSTEM DIAGNOSIS

Identify Strengths and Gaps

Use HCI and CI results to identify condition gaps and coherence misalignments across system layers.

- Condition Stability Analysis (HCI)
- Coherence Alignment Analysis (CI)
- Root Cause Identification
- Prioritize System Leverage Points

6 SYSTEM INTERVENTION

Design and Align System Actions

Leaders and policymakers implement evidence-informed strategies to strengthen conditions and coherence across layers.

- Strengthen Community Conditions
- Improve Governance Alignment
- Build Adult Capacity
- Support Student Capacity (Five Wells)

7 LEARNING SYSTEM STABILITY

Sustaining Learning Over Time

Stable conditions and coherent systems produce sustainable engagement, achievement, and life readiness.

- Engagement
- Persistence
- Achievement
- Postsecondary Readiness
- Life Readiness

THE CORE PRINCIPLE

Stable Human Conditions + Coherent Systems = Learning System Stability

THE STARLINGS INSTITUTE
Advancing Human-Centered Systems

LEARNING SYSTEM STABILITY = HCI × CI

Where: HCI = Human Conditions Index | CI = Coherence Index

Stable Human Conditions × Coherent Systems = Learning System Stability

FIGURE NOTES

Figure 5.
Human Conditions Theory Implementation Model

Note. The Human Conditions Theory Implementation Model illustrates how the theoretical principles developed throughout this article may be translated into practical assessment, implementation, evaluation, and continuous organizational improvement. The framework integrates Human Conditions Theory, the Educational Architecture Model, and the Five Wells Framework with two complementary measurement constructs: the Human Conditions Index (HCI) and the Coherence Index (CI). Together, these conceptual instruments provide a systematic approach for assessing human condition stability, evaluating organizational coherence, identifying system strengths and areas for improvement, designing evidence-informed interventions, and monitoring long-term educational performance.

The implementation model emphasizes that meaningful educational improvement is achieved through iterative cycles of assessment, implementation, evaluation, organizational learning, and continuous refinement rather than through isolated initiatives or one-time reforms. In doing so, it establishes a coherent pathway through which theory informs measurement, measurement informs practice, and practice generates evidence capable of further refining theory.

Accordingly, the Human Conditions Theory Implementation Model serves as the methodological bridge between conceptual scholarship and empirical investigation. It provides a practical architecture through which Human Conditions Theory may be operationalized, tested, validated, and continuously improved across diverse educational settings, establishing the foundation for future research and the continued development of HSA as a systems-centered field of educational inquiry (Starlings, 2026).

THEORETICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Human Conditions Theory contributes to educational scholarship by introducing learning system stability as a distinct focus of inquiry and by proposing Educational Architecture as a framework for examining how conditions interact across educational layers. While existing theories provide valuable explanations of developmental context, psychological motivation, organizational improvement, and relational trust, Human Conditions Theory focuses on how conditions across educational systems align to support or constrain sustained intellectual engagement.

The theory's primary contribution is the integration of developmental, ecological, organizational, and motivational perspectives into a systems-based framework for understanding learning stability. By shifting attention from isolated instructional interventions to the stability and alignment of conditions across educational systems, Human Conditions Theory expands educational inquiry beyond questions of instructional effectiveness to include environmental design, system coherence, and human capacity sustainability.

This perspective invites scholars to investigate how educational systems can be intentionally designed to support the conditions necessary for sustained learning and equitable outcomes. In doing so, the framework provides a foundation for future theoretical development, empirical investigation, and interdisciplinary research focused on the design of sustainable learning systems.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

As a conceptual framework, Human Conditions Theory is subject to several limitations. The theory does not assume that environmental conditions determine educational outcomes, nor does it diminish the importance of individual agency, instructional quality, leadership effectiveness, or family influences. In addition, the Human Conditions Index (HCI) and Coherence Index (CI) remain conceptual constructs that require empirical validation.

Future research should focus on testing the theory's central propositions and refining the HCI and CI as measures of condition stability and system coherence. Longitudinal, comparative, and interdisciplinary studies will be necessary to determine the explanatory power, practical utility, and generalizability of the framework across diverse educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

Educational reform has historically emphasized instructional quality, curriculum alignment, and accountability systems as primary mechanisms for improving student outcomes. While these approaches have generated important advances, persistent challenges related to engagement, educator sustainability, absenteeism, and inequitable outcomes suggest that instructional improvement alone may be insufficient to explain learning system performance.

This article introduced Human Conditions Theory as a systems-based framework for understanding how environmental conditions influence learning system stability.

Drawing upon developmental, ecological, organizational, and motivational traditions, the theory proposes that learning outcomes emerge not only from instructional practices but also from the stability and alignment of conditions operating across educational systems. Educational Architecture extends this perspective by examining how community ecosystem conditions, governance systems, adult capacity systems, and student capacity conditions interact to support or constrain sustained learning engagement.

The Human Conditions Index (HCI) and Coherence Index (CI) were introduced as conceptual frameworks for investigating condition stability and system alignment. Together, these constructs provide a foundation for examining learning environments as integrated human systems rather than collections of isolated interventions.

Human Conditions Theory does not replace existing educational theories. Rather, it provides a systems-level framework for understanding how environmental conditions across educational layers interact to support or undermine learning stability. Stable learning outcomes emerge when community ecosystems, governance systems, adult capacity, and student readiness operate in alignment. If educational systems are to support long-term learning, equity, and human flourishing, the architecture of those systems must become as important as the outcomes they seek to produce.

REFERENCES

Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.

Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., & Grunow, A. (2010). *Getting ideas into action: Building networked improvement communities in education*. Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). *Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better.* Harvard Education Press.

Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*. Russell Sage Foundation.

Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science, 23*(4), 307–337. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398649

Cohen, D. K., Raudenbush, S. W., & Ball, D. L. (2003). Resources, instruction, and research. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 25*(2), 119–142. https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737025002119

Coleman, J. S., Campbell, E. Q., Hobson, C. J., McPartland, J., Mood, A. M., Weinfeld, F. D., & York, R. L. (1966). *Equality of educational opportunity*. U.S. Government Printing Office.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). *The flat world and education: How America's commitment to equity will determine our future*. Teachers College Press.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(2), 97–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791

Davis, B., & Sumara, D. (2006). *Complexity and education: Inquiries into learning, teaching, and research*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Eccles, J. S., & Roeser, R. W. (2011). Schools as developmental contexts during adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 21*(1), 225–241. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00725.x

Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

Fullan, M., & Quinn, J. (2016). *Coherence: The right drivers in action for schools, districts, and systems*. Corwin.

Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school*. Teachers College Press.

Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.

Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist, 41*(2), 75–86. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_1

Meadows, D. H. (1999). *Leverage points: Places to intervene in a system*. Sustainability Institute.

Meadows, D. H. (2008). *Thinking in systems: A primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Noddings, N. (2005). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education* (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.

Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2020). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(1), 6–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2019.1614898

Senge, P. M. (2006). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization (Rev. ed.). Doubleday.

Starlings, K. A. (2026). Educational architecture: A human conditions theory of learning system stability. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6663638

von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). General system theory: Foundations, development, applications. George Braziller.